

Chemistry gains a new element:  $Z=106$ H.W. Gäggeler<sup>1,a,b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup>Universität Bern, Departement für Chemie und Biochemie, CH-3012 Berne, Switzerland<sup>b</sup>Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland

## Abstract

The correct position of a new element in the periodic table is not a trivial matter since relativistic effects can make heaviest elements behave differently from expectations. For the first time, chemical separations of element 106 (Seaborgium<sup>2</sup>, Sg) were performed in the gas phase and in aqueous solution followed by an unambiguous identification of this element and/or its  $\alpha$ -decay products. Seaborgium was produced at the UNILAC accelerator of the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung in Darmstadt, Germany, in the complete fusion reaction between  $^{22}\text{Ne}$  and  $^{248}\text{Cm}$ . On the basis of 18 detected atoms of the isotopes  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  and  $^{266}\text{Sg}$ , which have half-lives of about 7 and 21 s, respectively, it was possible to show that seaborgium is an ordinary member of the periodic table, i.e. it behaves similar to tungsten and molybdenum. © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A.

**Keywords:** Seaborgium; Fast chemistry; Oxychlorides; Fluoride complexes

## 1. Introduction

Calculations of the electron configuration of the heaviest elements [1–5] have predicted that sudden changes in the structure of the electron shells may appear due to relativistic effects. Such effects lead to attraction of spherical s and  $p_{1/2}$  shells and to expansion of high-angular momentum shells such as d and f orbitals. As a consequence, unexpected chemical properties may result [6–8].

Studies of chemical properties of elements 104 (rutherfordium, Rf) and 105 (dubnium, Db) have been carried out in recent years by several groups [9]. Some of the results were very surprising, e.g., in aqueous phase studies it was found that dubnium behaves more similar to niobium and/or protactinium and not like tantalum [10–12]. In gas phase investigations the observed volatility of rutherfordium chloride was higher than that of hafnium chloride [13,14], opposite to expectations on the basis of estimated trends within group 4 chlorides [15]. In contrast, dubnium bromide (or oxybromide) was found to have a surprisingly low volatility [16].

These findings motivated research in chemical properties

of still heavier elements. Of main interest is the question whether the behavior of seaborgium resembles that of its homologs in the periodic table, tungsten and molybdenum, and possibly also of its pseudohomolog uranium (Fig. 1).

Such chemical studies could only be envisaged because of the recent discovery of relatively long-lived neutron-rich isotopes of seaborgium [17]. Due to a predicted new magic neutron shell at  $N=162$  it was found that  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  and  $^{266}\text{Sg}$ , produced in the reaction  $^{22}\text{Ne} + ^{248}\text{Cm}$  in  $4n$  and  $5n$

1																	18
H	2											13	14	15	16	17	He
Li	Be											B	C	N	O	F	Ne
Na	Mg	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Al	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar
K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	I	Xe
Cs	Ba	La*	Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn
Fr	Ra	Ac <sup>+</sup>	Rf	Db	Sg												
						↑											
						Sg											
						Bh Hs Mt 110 111 112											
		+actinides															
		Th Pa U Np Pu Am Cm Bk Cf Es Fm Md No Lr															
		*lanthanides															
		Ce Pr Nd Pm Sm Eu Gd Tb Dy Ho Er Tm Yb Lu															

Fig. 1. Periodic table of the elements. The elements Sg through 112 are plotted as a group outside of the periodic table to indicate that no chemical information about them is available yet.

\*Corresponding author.

<sup>1</sup>The results presented in this manuscript have been obtained in a collaboration of nuclear chemistry groups from Darmstadt, Mainz, Dresden, Rossendorf, Berkeley, Dubna, Villigen and Bern.

<sup>2</sup>In this manuscript we use the element names accepted by the General Assembly of IUPAC on August 30, 1997.

evaporation channels, have surprisingly long half-lives of 2–30 s and 10–30 s, respectively. The previously known heaviest isotope of seaborgium was  $^{263}\text{Sg}$  with a half-life of 900 ms [18,19]. An attempt to perform a thermochromatographic separation of seaborgium with this short-lived isotope was interpreted by the authors as evidence for the formation of volatile oxychlorides of seaborgium, similar to tungsten [20]. However, the used experimental technique fell short of unambiguously showing that the observed, by itself unspecific, spontaneous-fission decay originated from an isotope of seaborgium.

## 2. Experimental

Two series of bombardments have been carried out at the UNILAC accelerator of the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung in Darmstadt. In the first series of studies, performed in 1995, a  $0.95\text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  thick  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  target was bombarded by about  $2 \times 10^{12}$  particles  $\text{s}^{-1}$  of 121 MeV  $^{22}\text{Ne}$ . From the thin target recoiling products were collected in a thermalization chamber flushed continuously with about  $1\text{ l min}^{-1}$  of He which contained small (about 0.05–0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) particles of KCl (aqueous phase studies) or graphite (gas phase studies). In a continuous regime, products attached onto the surface of these particles were transported in a few seconds along thin capillaries to the chemistry devices ARCA and OLGA

(“gas-jet technique”). In a following second experiment, performed in 1996, a mixed  $^{248}\text{Cm}/^{152}\text{Gd}$  target of  $0.69/0.02\text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  thickness was bombarded by the same intensity of 123 MeV  $^{22}\text{Ne}$ . These experiments were mainly used to further study the gas chemical behavior of seaborgium. The mixed target allowed a simultaneous production of short-lived isotopes of tungsten and seaborgium. The tungsten isotopes were then used as a tracer to monitor continuously the chemical yield of the separation device.

In the gas phase studies the gas jet was connected to OLGA, the On-Line Gas chemistry Apparatus [21] (Fig. 2). Here, the graphite particles were collected on a quartz wool plug positioned in the first part of a quartz chromatography column heated to about  $1000^\circ\text{C}$ . To this position  $100\text{--}140\text{ ml min}^{-1}$  of chlorine, saturated with thionyl chloride, and  $2\text{ ml min}^{-1}$  of oxygen was added. Previous extensive model studies with tungsten and molybdenum have shown [22] that under such conditions most likely the dioxydichloride molecule is formed, i.e.  $\text{MO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  ( $\text{M}=\text{Mo}, \text{W}$ ). After leaving the reaction oven, the volatile molecules had to pass a 1.8 m long  $1.0\text{ mm}$  diameter quartz column, inserted into a Carlo-Erba<sup>®</sup> gas chromatograph. Behind the column, the separated molecules were reattached onto new aerosol particles of CsCl in  $1\text{ l min}^{-1}$  of argon and then transported through a  $2\text{ mm}$  diameter polyethylene capillary to the rotating wheel counting device ROMA. Here the products were deposited on about  $40\text{ }\mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$  thick




Fig. 2. The OLGA system used for the study of seaborgium. The gas jet transports the seaborgium atoms attached to carbon particles in a helium gas to the reaction oven, where the reactive gas  $\text{Cl}_2/\text{SOCl}_2/\text{O}_2$  is added to form volatile oxychlorides and to convert the carbon particles into  $\text{CO}_2$ . Behind the isothermal chromatography section the ejecting molecules are attached to new particles and then transported to the counting device ROMA.

polypropylene foils, mounted along the circumference of a wheel. Every 10 s, the freshly deposited samples were moved between 300 mm<sup>2</sup> PIPS (Passivated Ion-implanted Planar Silicon) detector pairs mounted in seven consecutive positions to count particles in an event-by-event-mode. Such a device allows the measurement not only of  $\alpha$ -particle energies and fission fragment energy spectra but also to search for correlated  $\alpha$ -decay chains, spontaneous-fission followed after  $\alpha$ -decay (chains), partly using the so-called “mother–daughter” mode [18,19].

Under the chemical conditions used, besides group 6 oxychlorides also group 4 and 5 chlorides and/or oxychlorides might have been formed and transported [22], e.g., rutherfordium tetrachloride or dubnium oxychloride [23]. Actinides are not expected to pass the chromatography column.

In the aqueous phase studies the gas jet was led to the Automated Rapid Chemistry Apparatus (ARCA) [24]. This device is a microcomputer controlled apparatus for fast, repetitive and reproducible chromatographic separations in a miniaturized and chemically inert high-performance liquid chromatography system. There, the reaction products attached to KCl particles were collected for 45 s by inertial impaction in the collection position of ARCA and then eluted within 10 s with a 0.1 M HNO<sub>3</sub>/5×10<sup>-4</sup> M HF solution through an 8×1.5 mm chromatographic column filled with cation exchange resin Aminex [25]. Under such conditions the negative complexes MO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, MO<sub>3</sub>F<sup>-</sup> or MO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, typical for hexavalent ions from group 6 as well as neutral species like MO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> pass through the column. All positive ions are retained. Within about 28 s after elution final samples were prepared on Ta discs and then assayed for  $\alpha$ -decay and spontaneous-fission in a 2 $\pi$  geometry for 6 min.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Gas phase chemical studies

Table 1 summarizes all observed decay chains [26]. Some of them have already been published previously [25]. The SgO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> yields were determined at the four isothermal temperatures 250, 300, 350 and 400°C, where 0, 4, 8 and 3 atoms, respectively, of <sup>265</sup>Sg plus <sup>266</sup>Sg were detected. Also listed in Table 1 are the calculated random rates  $N_R$  for the different group of events. DM means that these events were observed in the mother–daughter mode, whereafter the mother event has been detected — the catcher wheel of the ROMA system was moved in an “off” position with no sample in front of any detector. This mode allows detection of the daughter decay at low background count-rates. As can be read from Table 1, out of the detected 15 events 2.9 are expected to be random. For a detailed description of the mathematical procedure to calculate the random rates we refer to [26]. An analysis of the data listed in Table 1 results in the following decay properties [26]:

$$^{265}\text{Sg}: T_{1/2} = 7.4_{-2.7}^{+3.3} \text{ s (68\% c.i.); } E_{\alpha} = 8.67 - 8.96 \text{ MeV}$$

$$^{266}\text{Sg}: T_{1/2} = 21_{-12}^{+20} \text{ s (68\% c.i.); } E_{\alpha} = 8.68 \pm 0.14 \text{ MeV;}$$

spontaneous-fission branch  $\leq 85\%$  (68% c.i.). From the number of detected atoms deduced cross-sections at a bombarding energy of 121 MeV amount to about 240 and 25 pb for the reactions <sup>248</sup>Cm(<sup>22</sup>Ne,5n)<sup>265</sup>Sg and <sup>248</sup>Cm(<sup>22</sup>Ne,4n)<sup>266</sup>Sg, respectively. These values are rough estimates since several yields (e.g., gas-jet transportation, chemical yield) have not been measured.

Table 1

Correlated decay chains observed in the OLGA experiments with  $E_a$  (mother) = 8.57–9.07 MeV (<sup>265</sup>Sg) and  $E_a$  (daughter(s)) = 8.05–8.45 MeV (<sup>261</sup>Rf, <sup>257</sup>No) or  $E_a$  (mother) = 8.41–8.85 MeV (<sup>266</sup>Sg) and  $E_{sf}$  (daughter)  $\geq 20$  MeV (<sup>262</sup>Rf) within 6.3 s

Decay chain	$E_1$ (MeV)	$t_1$ (s)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\Delta t_2$ (s)	$E_3$ (MeV)	$\Delta t_3$ (s)	Mode	Decay assignment	Isothermal temperature	$N_R$
1	8.86	0.6	8.35	48.4	–	–	DM	<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	300°C	0.21
2	8.76	1.4	8.39	151.8	–	–	DM	<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	350°C	0.21
3	8.93	7.0	8.22	22.2	–	–	DM	<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	350°C	0.21
4	8.82	27.3	8.15	53.3	–	–	DM	<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	300°C	0.21
5	8.81	2.8	8.36	31.0	8.11	14.8		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf → <sup>257</sup> No	400°C	0.04
6	8.69	1.4	8.18	56.0	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	300°C	0.24
7	8.85	5.6	8.41	40.2	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	350°C	0.24
8	8.76	6.6	8.28	45.8	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	350°C	0.24
9	8.77	6.8	8.22	25.5	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	350°C	0.24
10	8.96	12.8	8.23	23.5	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	350°C	0.24
11	8.85	19.1	8.35	0.5	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	400°C	0.24
12	8.93	19.1	8.41	35.6	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	350°C	0.24
13	8.86	21.7	8.37	31.6	–	–		<sup>265</sup> Sg → <sup>261</sup> Rf or <sup>257</sup> No	300°C	0.24
14	8.79	15.1	SF	1.7	–	–		<sup>266</sup> Sg → <sup>262</sup> Rf	350°C	0.09
15	8.52	48.9	SF	2.8	–	–	DM	<sup>266</sup> Sg → <sup>262</sup> Rf	400°C	0.03

DM indicates event chains observed in the “mother–daughter” mode (see text).  $N_R$  is the number of calculated random correlations for the corresponding group of events.

Fig. 3 depicts the chromatographic yield curves for  $^{168}\text{W}$ , presumably in form of  $\text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , and  $^{265}\text{Sg} + ^{266}\text{Sg}$ , as  $\text{SgO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , as a function of the isothermal temperature of OLGA. The yield curve for tungsten was measured during the second seaborgium experiment where the target also contained  $^{152}\text{Gd}$ . In Fig. 3 the measured yields for  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  and  $^{266}\text{Sg}$  at the temperatures 300, 350 and 400°C were averaged, after being normalized to the applied beam integrals, which were different for the different temperatures [27].

On the basis of a Monte Carlo model [28] from such chromatographic curves, adsorption enthalpies  $\Delta H_a^0$  of the volatile molecules on the surface of the quartz chromatography column may be deduced. The resulting value is  $\Delta H_a^0 = -96 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , in good agreement with previous studies [22], where 100–102  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  was found for identical chemical conditions. The value for  $\text{SgO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  is  $-100 \pm 4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . For the analysis, only the  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  data were used. The error is from the poor statistics as well as the uncertainties of the  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  half-life. From extrapolations of adsorption enthalpies of the dioxidichlorides of group 6 elements on quartz surfaces a value of  $-106 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  is obtained for  $\text{SgO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , in reasonable agreement with the experimental result.

As was shown previously [29], adsorption enthalpies of

microamounts of a given compound, e.g., chlorides on quartz surfaces, are proportional to the volatility of the corresponding macroamounts. Hence, from this work and Ref. [22] the following volatility sequence of the dioxidichlorides of group 6 elements may be derived:  $\text{MO}_2\text{Cl}_2 > \text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2 \approx \text{SgO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . A lower volatility of  $\text{SgO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  if compared to that of  $\text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  has been predicted by a Dirac–Slater discrete-variational calculation [30].

### 3.2. Aqueous phase studies

In the samples prepared from about 3900 separations three decay chains of the daughter and grand-daughter of  $^{265}\text{Sg}$ ,  $^{261}\text{Rf}$  and  $^{257}\text{No}$ , respectively, were observed (Fig. 4). Obviously, due to the time regime (see Section 2) the mainly produced  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  atoms have been separated by ARCA but have not survived the approximate half-minute time span needed to prepare final samples and start counting. Since under the given conditions rutherfordium does not pass through the Aminex column — as shown in an independent experiment [31] — and also because direct production of  $^{261}\text{Rf}$  is very unlikely for nuclear physics reasons (at the used bombarding energy the corresponding  $\alpha 5n$ -reaction channel is not populated) the observed decay




Fig. 3. Measured relative yield of  $^{168}\text{W}$  (presumably in form of  $\text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ) in the ROMA device as a function of the isothermal part of OLGA (closed squares). Also shown are the simultaneously measured yields of  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  (see Table 1) (open triangles). To reduce error bars, the experimental yields at 300, 350 and 400°C were averaged to one value. The ordinate on the right side gives the  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  cross-section. Deduced experimental adsorption enthalpies are  $-96 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $^{168}\text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and  $-100 \pm 4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $^{265}\text{SgO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ .




Fig. 4. Observed decay chains in the ARCA experiment for a total beam integral of  $5.5 \times 10^{17}$   $^{22}\text{Ne}$  particles applied to the target (from Ref. [25]).

chains can indeed be interpreted as a proof for a separation of seaborgium. An analysis showed that for this group of events 0.26 could be random which is equal to a 0.24% probability that all three events are random [25,32].

### 3.3. Summary and outlook

The reaction 121 and 123 MeV  $^{22}\text{Ne}$  on  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  was used to produce  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  and  $^{266}\text{Sg}$ . On the basis of 15 atoms of  $^{265}\text{Sg} + ^{266}\text{Sg}$  it was possible to show that seaborgium forms a volatile oxychloride, presumably  $\text{SgO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , of approximately equal volatility compared to that of  $\text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , in agreement with expectation. Moreover, with only three atoms of  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  it was possible to show that in dilute nitric acid/hydrofluoric acid seaborgium elutes through a cation exchange column in the form of a negative — or possibly neutral — complex. Also, this behavior is expected for group 6 elements. As an additional result, for the first time, the half-lives of the two isotopes  $^{265}\text{Sg}$  and  $^{266}\text{Sg}$  could be determined.

One of the separation techniques, the on-line gas chemistry apparatus (OLGA), might be ideally suited for further studies of the elements; 107 (bohrium, Bh) and 108 (hassium, Hs). For hassium the presently known longest-lived isotope is  $^{269}\text{Hs}$  which has a half-life of about 9 s [33]. For bohrium it seems reasonable to assume that the isotopes  $^{266}\text{Bh}$  and  $^{267}\text{Bh}$  have half-lives of several seconds. For a gas chemical separation of these two elements the volatile species proposed previously [34] should be envisaged, i.e. the acid  $\text{HBhO}_4$  and the oxide  $\text{HsO}_4$ . The deposition temperatures of these species in a thermochromatography quartz column were estimated as 100 and  $-80^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively [34]. For production the reactions  $^{249}\text{Bk}(^{22}\text{Ne},5n)^{266}\text{Bh}$  — with an estimated cross-section of about  $10^2$  pb — and  $^{248}\text{Cm}(^{26}\text{Mg},5n)^{269}\text{Hs}$  — with an estimated cross-section of a few pb — might be used. The sensitivity of the present-day OLGA technique seems

sufficient for a chemical study of bohrium, since it has also been used successfully to investigate  $^{266}\text{Sg}$ , produced with only a 40 pb cross-section. However, for a future study of hassium, a significant improvement is required to increase the overall yield of the technique, which is presently only a few percent. This seems possible if the reaction products are converted to the volatile species in-situ, i.e. in the thermalization chamber at the accelerator. Such an approach has been used at Dubna over many years [35]. In the case of hassium, the thermalization gas should be oxygen, in order to form the gaseous tetroxide directly from the stopped atoms (or ions) [36].

### Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Research, US Department of Energy for making available the  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  material through the transplutonium element production program of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. We also appreciate the excellent beam delivered by the crew of the UNILAC accelerator in Darmstadt. This work was supported in part by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF), the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the Chemical Sciences Division of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, US Department of Energy.

### References

- [1] B. Fricke, W. Greiner, Phys. Lett. B 30 (1969) 317.
- [2] J.-P. Desclaux, B. Fricke, J. Phys. 41 (1980) 943.
- [3] V.A. Glebov, L. Kasztura, V.S. Nefedov, B. Zhuikov, Radiochim. Acta 46 (1989) 117.
- [4] E. Johnson, B. Fricke, O.L. Keller, C.W. Nestor, T.C. Tucker, J. Chem. Phys. 93 (1990) 8041.
- [5] E. Eliav, U. Kaldor, Y. Ishikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 1079.
- [6] O.L. Keller, Radiochim. Acta 37 (1984) 169.
- [7] P. Pyykkö, Chem. Rev. 88 (1988) 563.
- [8] V.G. Pershina, Chem. Rev. 96 (1996) 1977.
- [9] M. Schädel, Radiochim. Acta 70–71 (1995) 207.
- [10] K.E. Gregorich, R.A. Henderson, D.M. Lee, M.J. Nurmia, R.M. Chasteler, H.L. Hall, D.A. Bennett, C.M. Gannett, R.B. Chadwick, J.D. Leyba, D.C. Hoffman, Radiochim. Acta 45 (1988) 223.
- [11] J.V. Kratz, H.P. Zimmermann, U.W. Scherer, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, K.E. Gregorich, C.M. Gannett, H.L. Hall, R.A. Henderson, D.M. Lee, J.D. Leyba, M.J. Nurmia, D.C. Hoffman, H. Gäggeler, U. Baltensperger, Ya Nai-Qi, A. Türlér, Ch. Lienert, Radiochim. Acta 48 (1989) 121.
- [12] H.P. Zimmermann, M.K. Guber, J.V. Kratz, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, E. Schimpf, K.E. Gregorich, A. Türlér, K.R. Czerwinski, N.J. Hannink, B. Kadkhodayan, D.M. Lee, M.J. Nurmia, D.C. Hoffman, H. Gäggeler, D. Jost, J. Kovacs, U.W. Scherer, A. Weber, Radiochim. Acta 60 (1993) 11.
- [13] B. Kadkhodayan, A. Türlér, K.E. Gregorich, P.A. Baisden, K.R. Czerwinski, B. Eichler, H.W. Gäggeler, T.M. Hamilton, D.T. Jost, C.D. Kacher, A. Kovacs, S.A. Kreek, M.R. Lane, M.F. Mohar, M.P.

- Neu, E.R. Sylwester, D.M. Lee, M.J. Nurmia, G.T. Seaborg, D.C. Hoffman, *Radiochim. Acta* 72 (1996) 169.
- [14] H.W. Gäggeler, et al., Annual report 1996, Labor für Radio- und Umweltchemie, Paul Scherrer Institut, 1997, p. 32, unpublished.
- [15] B. Eichler, private communication (1997).
- [16] H.W. Gäggeler, *Radiochim. Acta* 57 (1992) 93.
- [17] Yu.A. Lazarev, Yu.V. Lobanov, Yu.Ts. Oganessian, V.K. Utyonkov, F.Sh. Abdullin, G.V. Buklanov, B.N. Gikal, S. Iliev, A.N. Mezentsev, A.N. Polyakov, I.M. Sedykh, IV. Shirokovsky, V.G. Subbotin, A.M. Sukhov, Yu.S. Tsyganov, V.E. Zhuchko, R.W. Loughheed, K.J. Moody, J.F. Wild, E.K. Hulet, J.H. McQuaid, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73 (1994) 624.
- [18] A. Ghiorso, J.N. Nitschke, J.R. Alonso, M. Nurmia, G.T. Seaborg, E.K. Hulet, R.W. Loughheed, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 33 (1974) 1490.
- [19] K.E. Gregorich, M.R. Lane, M.F. Mohar, D.M. Lee, C.D. Kacher, E.R. Sylwester, D.C. Hoffman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72 (1994) 1423.
- [20] S.N. Timokhin, A.B. Yakushev, Xu Honggi, V.P. Perelygin, I. Zvara, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* 212 (1996) 31.
- [21] H.W. Gäggeler, D.T. Jost, U. Baltensperger, A. Weber, D. Vermeulen, A. Türler, *Nucl. Instr. Methods A* 309 (1991) 201.
- [22] M. Gärtner, M. Boettger, B. Eichler, H.W. Gäggeler, M. Grantz, S. Hübener, D.T. Jost, D. Piguët, R. Dressler, A. Türler, A.B. Yakushev, *Radiochim. Acta*, in press (1997).
- [23] A. Türler, B. Eichler, D.T. Jost, S. Piguët, H.W. Gäggeler, K.E. Gregorich, B. Kadkhodayan, S.A. Kreek, D.M. Lee, M. Mohar, E. Sylwester, D.C. Hoffman, S. Hübener, *Radiochim. Acta* 73 (1996) 55.
- [24] M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, E. Schimpf, H.P. Zimmermann, M. Gober, J.V. Kratz, N. Trautmann, H.W. Gäggeler, D.T. Jost, J. Kovacs, U. Scherer, A. Weber, K.E. Gregorich, A. Türler, K.R. Czerwinski, N.J. Hannink, B. Kadkhodayan, D.M. Lee, M.J. Nurmia, D.C. Hoffman, *Radiochim. Acta* 57 (1992) 85.
- [25] M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, R. Dressler, B. Eichler, H.W. Gäggeler, R. Günther, K.E. Gregorich, D.C. Hoffman, S. Hübener, D.T. Jost, J.V. Kratz, W. Paulus, S. Schumann, S. Timkhin, N. Trautmann, A. Türler, G. Wirth, A. Yakushev, *Nature* 388 (1997) 55.
- [26] A. Türler, R. Dressler, B. Eichler, H.W. Gäggeler, D.T. Jost, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, K.E. Gregorich, N. Trautmann, S. Taut, *Phys. Rev. C* 57 (1998) 1.
- [27] A. Türler, et al., Annual report 1996, Labor für Radio- und Umweltchemie, Paul Scherrer Institut, 1997, p. 29, unpublished.
- [28] I. Zvara, *Radiochim. Acta* 38 (1985) 95.
- [29] B. Eichler, V.P. Domanov, Report Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, JINR R12-7775 (in russian).
- [30] V. Pershina, B. Fricke, *J. Phys. Chem.* 100 (1997) 8748.
- [31] M. Schädel, private communication (1997).
- [32] M. Schädel, *Radiochim. Acta* 77 (1997) 149.
- [33] S. Hofmann, V. Ninov, F.P. Hessberger, P. Armbruster, H. Folger, G. Müntzenberg, H.J. Schött, A.G. Popeko, A.V. Yerebin, S. Saro, R. Janik, M. Leino, *Z. Phys. A* 354 (1996) 229.
- [34] G.N. Flerov, *Proc. Int. Conf. Nucl. Phys.*, Florence 1983, Vol. II, p. 365 ff.
- [35] I. Zvara, Yu.T. Chuburkov, V.Z. Belov, G.V. Buklanov, B.B. Zakhvataev, T.S. Zvarova, O.D. Maslov, R. Xcaletka, M.R. Shalaevsky, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 32 (1970) 1885.
- [36] A. Türler, Contribution to the BGS workshop, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 9–11 April, 1997 (K.E. Gregorich, organizer), unpublished.